

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,

No. C 10-03561 WHA

Plaintiff,

v.

GOOGLE INC.,

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

Defendant.

YOUR ANSWERS MUST BE UNANIMOUS.

1. Has Oracle proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Google infringes
claim 11, 27, 29, 39, 40, or 41 of United States Patent Number RE38,104?

Yes
(Infringing) _____ No
(Not Proven) _____

CLAIM 11: _____

CLAIM 27: _____

CLAIM 29: _____

CLAIM 39: _____

CLAIM 40: _____

CLAIM 41: _____

1 2. Has Oracle proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Google infringes
2 claim 1 or 20 of United States Patent Number 6,061,520?

Yes
(Infringing) No
(Not Proven)

CLAIM 1: _____

CLAIM 20: _____

8 3. Has Oracle proven by clear and convincing evidence that Google willfully
9 infringed one or more claim of an asserted patent? (Answer this question only if above you
10 answered “Yes” to one or more claims of a *given* patent.)

FOR THE '104 PATENT:

FOR THE '520 PATENT:

Dated: May ___, 2012.

FOREPERSON